IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Jermaine Goode,)	Civil Action No. 4:13-3158-MGL
)	
	Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
The Town of Kingstree, et al.,)	
	D C 1)	
	Defendants.)	
)	

Plaintiff Jermaine Goode, ("Plaintiff"), proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed the instant action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2), D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, for pre-trial handling.

On July 22, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation, ("the Report"), (ECF No. 156), recommending that this Court deny Plaintiff's "Motion for Partial Summary Judgment." (ECF No. 105). Objections to the Report were due by August 10, 2015. Plaintiff did not file any objections to the Report. The matter is now ripe for review by this Court.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to the Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). In the absence of a timely filed Objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record

4:13-cv-03158-MGL Date Filed 08/19/15 Entry Number 163 Page 2 of 2

in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310,

315 (4th Cir. 2005).

Applying the above standards to the instant matter, the Court has carefully reviewed the record, applicable law, and the Magistrate Judge's Report, (ECF No. 156), and finding no clear error in the Report, the Court adopts and incorporates it by reference. Accordingly, Plaintiff's "Motion

for Partial Summary Judgment," (ECF No. 105), is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Mary G. LewisUnited States District Judge

August 19, 2015 Columbia, South Carolina